

## Independent Limited Assurance Statement to the Directors and Management of Woodside Energy Group Limited

### Our Limited Assurance Engagement

GHD Pty Ltd (GHD or we) was engaged by Woodside Energy Group Limited (Woodside) to undertake a limited assurance engagement in respect of the following selected matters for the calendar year ending 31 December 2025, presented within the data tables on the Woodside's website within the Sustainability Data Tables section:

Sustainability Data Tables 2025	
Climate Related Data	
Metric	Unit of Measurement
<b>Hydrocarbon production</b>	
Equity hydrocarbon production	kt
Operated hydrocarbon production	kt
Equity hydrocarbon sales (including Traded Hydrocarbon)	kt
<b>Revenue<sup>1</sup></b>	
Operating revenue	US\$ m
<b>Global Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions</b>	
Net equity Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Gross equity Scope 1 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Gross equity Scope 2 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Carbon credits retired in respect of annual equity GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Gross operated Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Gross operated Scope 1 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Gross operated Scope 2 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Percentage of equity Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions covered under emissions limiting regulations	%
<b>Equity Scope 1 greenhouse gas emissions by source</b>	
Fuel combustion	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Flaring	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Venting	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Other	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
<b>Equity Scope 1 greenhouse gas emissions by country</b>	
Australia	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Senegal	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Trinidad and Tobago	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
United States of America	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
<b>Methane</b>	
Equity methane emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Percentage of equity gross Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions that are methane	%
Equity methane intensity	t CH <sub>4</sub> /kt total production
Equity methane intensity	Sm <sup>3</sup> /Sm <sup>3</sup> marketed gas (%)
Operated methane emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Operated methane intensity	t CH <sub>4</sub> /kt total production
Operated methane intensity	Sm <sup>3</sup> /Sm <sup>3</sup> marketed gas (%)
<b>Flared gas</b>	
Total equity flaring	t



<b>Sustainability Data Tables 2025</b>	
Total operated flaring	t
Equity flaring intensity	t/kt
Operated flaring intensity	t/kt
<b>Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions</b>	
Total Scope 3 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Category 1 - purchased goods and services, related to Traded Hydrocarbons	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Category 9 - downstream transportation and distribution	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Category 11 - use of sold product, related to Woodside production	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Category 11 - use of sold product, related to Traded Hydrocarbons	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
Selected other upstream Scope 3 GHG emissions	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e
<b>Greenhouse gas emissions intensity</b>	
Gross equity Scope 1 GHG emissions intensity	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e/kt
Gross operated Scope 1 GHG emissions intensity	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e/kt
Gross equity Scope 1 GHG emissions intensity	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e/revenue-equity US\$ m
Gross equity Scope 1 upstream facility GHG emissions intensity	kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/boe
Gross equity Scope 1 LNG facility GHG emissions intensity	kg CO <sub>2</sub> e/boe
Net equity Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e/kt
Gross operated Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions intensity	kt CO <sub>2</sub> -e/kt
Net equity Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions intensity	g CO <sub>2</sub> -e/MJ
<b>Environment performance</b>	
<b>Non-greenhouse gas emissions</b>	
Nitrogen oxides (NOx)	(tonnes)
Sulphur oxides (SOx)	(tonnes)
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	(tonnes)
<b>Refrigerants - Ozone depleting substances</b>	
CFC-11 equivalent	(tonnes)
<b>Water</b>	
Fresh water use	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Fresh water intensity	(m <sup>3</sup> /kt)
Produced formation water discharge - reinjection	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Produced formation water discharge- open marine	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Produced formation water - oil load open marine	(kg)
<b>Waste</b>	
Non-hazardous	(tonnes)
Hazardous	(tonnes)
Total waste	(tonnes)
<b>Waste disposal</b>	
Incineration	(tonnes)
Evaporation	(tonnes)
Landfill	(tonnes)
Reused / recycled	(tonnes)
Other	(tonnes)

<b>Environmental incidents</b>	
Total number of hydrocarbon spills	>1 bbl
Total - Quantity of hydrocarbon spilt for spills	>1 bbl (m <sup>3</sup> )
Total number of hazardous non-hydrocarbon spills	>1 bbl
Total - Quantity of hazardous non-hydrocarbon spilt for spills	>1 bbl (m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Health and safety performance</b>	
<b>Workforce exposure hours</b>	
Employees	# <sup>2</sup>
Contractor	#
Total hours	#
<b>Number of incidents</b>	
Fatalities - employees	#
Fatalities - contractor	#
Total fatalities	#
High-consequence injuries - employees	#
High-consequence injuries - contractors	#
Total high-consequence injuries	#
Recordable injuries - employees	#
Recordable injuries - contractors	#
Total recordable injuries	#
Lost time injury events - employees	#
Lost time injury events - contractors	#
Total lost time injury events	#
High potential incidents	#
Recordable occupational illnesses - employees	#
Recordable occupational illnesses - contractors	#
Total recordable occupational illnesses	#
<b>Number of process safety events</b>	
Tier 1	#
Tier 2	#
Total Tier 1 or Tier 2	#
<b>Frequency rates</b>	
Fatality rate - employees	# fatalities / million hrs worked
Fatality rate - contractors	# fatalities / million hrs worked
Total fatality rate	# fatalities / million hrs worked
High-consequence injury rate - employees	# High-consequence work-related injury / million hrs worked
High-consequence injury rate - contractors	# High-consequence work-related injury / million hrs worked
Total high-consequence injury rate	# High-consequence work-related injury / million hrs worked
Total recordable injury rate - employees	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked
Total recordable injury rate - contractors	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked
Total recordable injury rate	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked
Lost time injury frequency - employees	# lost time injuries / million hrs worked

Lost time injury frequency - contractors	# lost time injuries / million hrs worked
Lost time injury frequency	# lost time injuries / million hrs worked
High potential incident frequency	# high potential incidents / million hrs worked
Total recordable occupational illness frequency - employees	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked
Total recordable occupational illness frequency - contractors	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked
Total recordable occupational illness frequency	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked
<b>Total recordable injury rate by region</b>	
Africa	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked within the region
Americas	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked within the region
Asia	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked within the region
Australia	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked within the region
Caribbean	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked within the region
Overall frequency	# recordable injuries / million hrs worked
<b>Total recordable occupational illness frequency by region</b>	
Africa	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked within the region
Americas	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked within the region
Asia	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked within the region
Australia	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked within the region
Caribbean	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked within the region
Overall frequency	# recordable occupational illnesses / million hrs worked
<b>Number of lost days by region</b>	
Africa	#
Americas	#
Asia	#
Australia	#
Caribbean	#
Total	#

Training time spent on health and safety by region (hours)	
Africa	#
Americas	#
Asia	#
Australia	#
Caribbean	#
Europe	#
Total	#

<sup>1</sup>We have not provided assurance on revenue numbers; we have limited our procedures to check if the revenue numbers used in the intensity calculation are the same as per the third-party audited annual (financial) report.

<sup>2</sup> # = Number

### Our Limited Assurance Conclusion

Based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the selected matters are not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Reporting Criteria detailed below for the reporting period 1 January 2025 to 31 December 2025.

Note, this engagement only provides assurance over the 2025 Environment, Health and Safety and Climate data and associated footnotes relevant to the calendar year 2025 period and provided by Woodside and is not inclusive of other sustainability related disclosures.

Additionally, and for the avoidance of doubt, our assurance activities relate to data issued to GHD on the following dates:

Data Table	Date received
Climate data table	19 <sup>th</sup> February 2026
Health and Safety data table	19 <sup>th</sup> February 2026
Environment data table	23 <sup>rd</sup> February 2026

### Reporting Criteria

The Reporting Criteria used by Woodside for preparing the selected matters are set out in Basis of Preparation documents provided by Woodside.

### Woodside Responsibility

Woodside is responsible for the preparation of its Environment, Health and Safety and Climate data tables published on Woodside's website within the Sustainability Data Tables section, including the preparation of the selected matters in accordance with the Reporting Criteria. This responsibility includes selection of appropriate Reporting Criteria and the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal controls relevant to the preparation of the 2025 Woodside data tables that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. It is noted that greenhouse gas quantification is subject to inherent uncertainty because of incomplete scientific knowledge used to determine emissions factors and the values needed to combine emissions of different gases.

### The basis of our conclusion: standards-based limited assurance

We conducted our limited assurance engagement in accordance with Standards on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3000 *Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* (ASAE 3000), and in accordance with the Australian Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3410 *Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements* (ASAE 3410). We believe that the evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our conclusion.

### Our responsibility

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the metrics in Woodside's 2025 Environment, Health and Safety and Climate data tables published on Woodside's website based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained. ASAE 3000 and ASAE 3410 requires that we plan and perform this engagement to obtain limited assurance to determine whether the selected matters are free from material misstatement.

A limited assurance engagement in accordance with ASAE 3000 and ASAE 3410 involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the quantification of Environment, Health and Safety and Climate data. The nature, timing and extent of procedures selected depend on the assurance practitioner's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, GHD considered internal controls relevant to Woodside's preparation of the subject matter. A limited assurance engagement also includes:

- Assessing the suitability of Woodside's use of the reporting criteria for the data tables, as the basis for preparing the data.
- Evaluating the appropriateness of quantification methods and reporting policies used, and the reasonableness of estimates made by Woodside.
- Evaluating the completeness and accuracy of recording, aggregation and transcription of source data.

The procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing and are less in extent than for a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had we performed a reasonable assurance engagement.

Accordingly, we do not express a reasonable assurance opinion about whether the selected matters have been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Reporting Criteria.

We believe that the evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

### **What we did: Our assurance procedures**

The procedures we performed were based on our professional judgement and included enquiries, observation of processes performed, inspection of documents, analytical procedures, evaluating the appropriateness of quantification methods and reporting policies, and agreeing or reconciling with underlying records. Our procedures included:

- Thorough process owner enquiries and review of system documentation, obtaining an understanding of Woodside's reporting processes relevant to the selected matters and evaluating whether they appear appropriate for preparing the selected matters in accordance with the Reporting Criteria.
- Obtaining an understanding of Woodside's determination of its reporting boundary and performed testing to confirm it appears to have been appropriately implemented.
- Testing Woodside's calculations of Environment, Health and Safety and Climate data being based on the activity data and applying appropriate conversion and emissions factors in accordance with the Reporting Criteria.
- Performing scans on a sample basis of publicly available information in respect of possible environmental fines and penalties incurred by Woodside.
- Checking Woodside's presentation of the selected matters in its Environment, Health and Safety and Climate data tables, including that they were prepared in accordance with the findings from our limited assurance engagement.

### **Our independence and quality control**

We have complied with the relevant ethical requirements relating to assurance engagements, which is founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality, and professional behaviour. GHD applies Auditing Standard ASQM 1 *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements* (ASQM1), and accordingly we maintain a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards, and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

### **Inherent limitations**

There are inherent limitations in performing assurance—for example, assurance engagements are based on selective testing of the information being examined—and because of this, it is possible that fraud or error may occur and not be detected. An assurance engagement is not designed to detect all misstatements, as an assurance engagement is not performed continuously throughout the period that is the subject of the engagement, and the procedures are performed on a test basis. The opinion expressed in our Independent Assurance Statement has been formed on the above basis.

Our engagement did not include assurance of other information within Woodside data other than the selected matters, including no assurance provided in respect of previous years' comparative numbers for the selected matters.

We understand that Woodside may publish a copy of our assurance statement on its website. We do not accept responsibility for the electronic presentation of our assurance statement on Woodside's website. The security and controls over information on Woodside's website is not evaluated or addressed by us as the independent assurance practitioner. The examination of the controls over the electronic presentation of this assurance statement on Woodside's website is beyond the scope of our limited assurance engagement.

There are no specified metrics which Woodside must include within its data tables and also no limit on metrics that could be presented. Our engagement is limited to the metrics that are listed above and we do not provide assurance that there aren't other relevant metrics that would have been pertinent to include in the data tables.

### **Restricted use of our limited assurance statement: Only Woodside may rely upon it**

This limited assurance statement has been prepared for a specific purpose agreed with Woodside. Whilst we accept that Woodside may publish this limited assurance statement on its website as evidence of the assurance it has obtained, our limited assurance statement is not suitable to rely on for anyone else for any purpose. Accordingly, we expressly disclaim and do not accept any responsibility or liability to any party other than Woodside for any consequences of reliance on this limited assurance report for any purpose.

24<sup>th</sup> February 2026

**GHD Pty Ltd**