Scarborough Project – Nearshore Component

Ministerial Statement 1172

Compliance Assessment Report

11 August 2024 – 10 August 2025

November 2025

Rev 0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

2. INTRODUCTION 2

3. SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL'S IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 2

4. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE 2

5. DETAILS OF DECLARED COMPLIANCE STATUS 2

5.1 Environmental Management Plans / Programs 2

5.2 Environmental Monitoring Plans / Programs / Research 3

5.3 Monitoring Results 3

6. REFERENCES 4

APPENDIX A: STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE 5

1. PROPOSAL AND PROPONENT DETAILS 5

2. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE DETAILS 5

3. DETAILS OF NON-COMPLIANCE(S) AND/OR POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE(S) 6

4. PROPONENT DECLARATION 8

5. SUBMISSION OF STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE 8

6. CONTACT INFORMATION 8

7. POST ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES AND FORMS 8

APPENDIX B: AUDIT TABLE 10

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Woodside was granted Ministerial Approval to develop the nearshore component of the Scarborough Project on 11 August 2021 (Ministerial Statement No.1172). Woodside commenced construction of the nearshore component on 1 February 2023, and the nearshore component of trunkline dredging was completed on the 25 August 2023. Sand and rock backfill were subsequently carried out, and all planned activities completed by 6 March 2024, when water quality equipment was removed from the project area.

There were no activities carried out under the Ministerial Statement during this reporting period (11 August 2024 – 10 August 2025).

Construction activities completed under the Ministerial Statement in previous reporting periods can be summarized as:

- Shore crossing activities – completed 2 February 2024.
- Seabed intervention activities:
    - Trenching (dredging) and spoil disposal – completed on 25 August 2023
    - Trunkline installation (State Waters) – completed on 23 December 2023
    - Sand and rock backfill – completed 21 January 2024
- Environmental monitoring (water quality, coral) – completed on 6 March 2024

The environmental management plans and programs required by MS 1172 were approved on the dates below and have not been updated nor required to be resubmitted for approval since:

- Dredging and Spoil Management Plan (DSDMP) – Approved 7 March 2023.
- Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP) – Approved 6 January 2023.

While no activities were carried out in State Waters under Ministerial Statement 1172 during the reporting period, meaning the DSDMP did not apply; the approved Development Envelope does include a section of the Pluto Gas Plant where the Scarborough trunkline comes onshore. In this onshore section, the CHMP did apply through the reporting period, and contractors working in the area were compliant with requirements.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

2. INTRODUCTION

The Scarborough Project comprises subsea wells, flowlines and associated subsea infrastructure; a semi-submersible gas processing and compression Floating Production Unit (FPU) in offshore Commonwealth waters and export trunkline (approximately 440 kilometres in length). The nearshore component of the project includes the installation of the ~32km long section of trunkline running from the State waters boundary up to the Pluto LNG facility on the Burrup Peninsula.

The scope of this compliance assessment report includes any activity, or conditions described in the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (DSDMP) and the Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP) included in MS1172, undertaken in the reporting period, between 11 August 2024 and 10 August 2025. This report has been made in accordance with the approved reporting plan (MS 4-2).

3. SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL'S IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

Construction of the Scarborough Project Nearshore Component was completed during the last reporting period (11 August 2023 and 10 August 2024).

No offshore activities were carried out under the approval during this reporting period, however access to the onshore section of the Development Envelope did continue, for Trunkline connection to Pluto Gas Plant infrastructure and commissioning activities.

While planned activities under this approval are now complete, the Ministerial Statement will remain in effect, allowing for production of Scarborough gas through the Trunkline, for further processing onshore.

4. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The Statement of Compliance is included in Appendix A.

5. DETAILS OF DECLARED COMPLIANCE STATUS

Woodside is in compliance with the conditions of MS1172. There have been no non-compliances, with evidence of compliance with the conditions of MS1172 described in the audit table in Appendix B.

5.1 Environmental Management Plans / Programs

The status of the environmental management plans and programs under MS1172 is as follows:

a. DSDMP Implementation

   The DSDMP was approved 7 March 2023. Dredging activities that fall under the DSDMP commenced 21 July 2023 and continued intermittently until 21 January 2024.

   Activities under the DSDMP were carried out in accordance with the Plan and no Management Targets were breached. No further activities have been carried out under this Plan during the reporting period.

b. CHMP Implementation

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

The CHMP was approved 6 January 2023. Ground disturbance activities that fall under the CHMP commenced 1 February 2023. No incidents were recorded against the CHMP during implementation and any work carried out in the onshore Development Envelope has been completed in accordance with DSDMP management requirements.

5.2 Environmental Monitoring Plans / Programs / Research

MS1172 requires the implementation of the DSDMP and CHMP and surveys detailed within the Environmental Management Plans.

All monitoring activities under the DSDMP have been carried out, as described in the previous reporting period (11 August 2023 and 10 August 2024). No new monitoring activities were carried out during this reporting period.

5.3 Monitoring Results

Water quality monitoring systems were deployed at 19 sites throughout the Dampier Archipelago. The monitoring systems were installed at all sites between 5 May and 14 May 2023, and retrieved between 27 February and 6 March 2024, equating to approximately 10 months of water quality monitoring data.

Data was presented in the last reporting period, with no monitoring activities carried out during this reporting period.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

6. REFERENCES

Woodside Energy Ltd., 2023a. Scarborough Project Cultural Heritage Management Plan. SA0006GH1401311448

Woodside Energy Ltd., 2023b. Scarborough Project Nearshore Component, Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan. SA0006AH0000002

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

APPENDIX A: STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

1. PROPOSAL AND PROPONENT DETAILS

Proposal Title	*Scarborough Project – Nearshore Component*
Statement Number	*1172*
Proponent Name	*Woodside Energy Ltd*
Proponent's Australian Company Number *(where relevant)*	005 482 986

2. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE DETAILS

Reporting Period	*11/08/24* to *10/08/25*

Implementation phase(s) during reporting period (please tick ✓ relevant phase(s))							
Pre-construction		Construction	✓	Operation		Decommissioning	

Audit Table for Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance is provided at Attachment:	2 (Appendix B)
An audit table for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance must be provided as Attachment 2 to this Statement of Compliance. The audit table must be prepared and maintained in accordance with the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) *Post Assessment Guideline for Preparing an Audit Table*, as amended from time to time. The 'Status Column' of the audit table must accurately describe the compliance status of each implementation condition and/or procedure for the reporting period of this Statement of Compliance. The terms that may be used by the proponent in the 'Status Column' of the audit table are limited to the Compliance Status Terms listed and defined in Table 1 of Attachment 1.	

Were all implementation conditions and/or procedures of the Statement complied with within the reporting period? (please tick ✓ the appropriate box)			
No (please proceed to Section 3)		Yes (please proceed to Section 4)	✓

3. DETAILS OF NON-COMPLIANCE(S) AND/OR POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE(S)

The information required Section 3 must be provided for each non-compliance or potential non-compliance identified during the reporting period covered by this Statement of Compliance.

Non-compliance/potential non-compliance 3-1

Which implementation condition or procedure was non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?
Was the implementation condition or procedure non-compliant or potentially non-compliant?
On what date(s) did the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occur (if applicable)?

Was this non-compliance or potential non-compliance reported to the Chief Executive Officer, DWER?	
☐ Yes ☐ Reported to DWER verbally Date _____ ☐ Reported to DWER in writing Date _____	☐ No

What are the details of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance and where relevant, the extent of and impacts associated with the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?
What is the precise location where the non-compliance or potential non-compliance occurred (if applicable)? (please provide this information as a map or GIS co-ordinates)
What was the cause(s) of the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?
What remedial and/or corrective action(s), if any, were taken or are proposed to be taken in response to the non-compliance or potential non-compliance?

What measures, if any, were in place to prevent the non-compliance or potential non-compliance before it occurred? What, if any, amendments have been made to those measures to prevent re-occurrence?
Please provide information/documentation collected and recorded in relation to this implementation condition or procedure: • in the reporting period addressed in this Statement of Compliance; and • as outlined in the approved Compliance Assessment Plan for the Statement addressed in this Statement of Compliance. (the above information may be provided as an attachment to this Statement of Compliance)

For additional non-compliance or potential non-compliance, please duplicate this page as required.

4. PROPONENT DECLARATION

I, Andrew Lobb, Scarborough Project Manager, declare that I am authorised on behalf of Woodside Energy to submit this form and that the information contained in this form is true and not misleading.

Signature:.[redacted]..... Date:28/1/2026

Please note that:

- it is an offence under section 112 of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* for a person to give or cause to be given information that to his knowledge is false or misleading in a material particular; and
- the Chief Executive Officer of the DWER has powers under section 47(2) of the *Environmental Protection Act 1986* to require reports and information about implementation of the proposal to which the statement relates and compliance with the implementation conditions.

5. SUBMISSION OF STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

One hard copy and one electronic copy (preferably PDF on CD or thumb drive) of the Statement of Compliance are required to be submitted to the Chief Executive Officer, DWER, marked to the attention of Manager, Compliance (Ministerial Statements).

Please note, the DWER has adopted a procedure of providing written acknowledgment of receipt of all Statements of Compliance submitted by the proponent, however, the DWER does not approve Statements of Compliance.

6. CONTACT INFORMATION

Queries regarding Statements of Compliance, or other issues of compliance relevant to a Statement may be directed to Compliance (Ministerial Statements), DWER:

Manager, Compliance (Ministerial Statements)

Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Postal Address: Locked Bag 10
Joondalup DC
WA 6919
Phone: (08) 6364 7000
Email: compliance@dwer.wa.gov.au

7. POST ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES AND FORMS

Post assessment documents can be found at www.epa.wa.gov.au

ATTACHMENT 1

Table 7-1 Compliance Status Terms

Compliance Status Terms	Abbrev	Definition	Notes
Compliant	C	Implementation of the proposal has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	This term applies to audit elements with: • ongoing requirements that have been met during the reporting period; and • requirements with a finite period of application that have been met during the reporting period, but whose status has not yet been classified as 'completed'.
Completed	CLD	A requirement with a finite period of application has been satisfactorily completed.	This term may only be used where: • audit elements have a finite period of application (e.g. construction activities, development of a document); • the action has been satisfactorily completed; and • the DWER has provided written acceptance of 'completed' status for the audit element.
Not required at this stage	NR	The requirements of the audit element were not triggered during the reporting period.	This should be consistent with the 'Phase' column of the audit table.
Potentially Non-compliant	PNC	Possible or likely failure to meet the requirements of the audit element.	This term may apply where during the reporting period the proponent has identified a potential non-compliance and has not yet finalized its investigations to determine whether non-compliance has occurred.
Non-compliant	NC	Implementation of the proposal has not been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the audit element.	This term applies where the requirements of the audit element are not "complete" have not been met during the reporting period.
In Process	IP	Where an audit element requires a management or monitoring plan be submitted to the DWER or another government agency for approval, that submission has been made and no further information or changes have been requested by the DWER or the other government agency and assessment by the DWER or other government agency for approval is still pending.	**The term 'In Process' may not be used for any purpose other than that stated in the Definition Column.** The term 'In Process' may not be used to describe the compliance status of an implementation condition and/or procedure that requires implementation throughout the life of the project (e.g. implementation of a management plan).

APPENDIX B: AUDIT TABLE

Note:

- Phases that apply in this table = Pre-Construction, Construction, Operation, Decommissioning, Overall (several phases).
- This audit table is a summary and timetable of conditions and commitments applying to this project. Refer to the Minister's Statement for full detail/precise wording of individual elements.
- Code prefixes: M = Minister's condition, P = Proponent's commitment.
- Acronyms list: CAP = Compliance Assessment Plan; CAR = Compliance Assessment Report; CEO = Chief Executive Officer of OEPA; CHMP = Cultural Heritage Management Plan; DSDMP = Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan; EP Act = Environmental Protection Act; OEPA = Office of the Environmental Protection Authority.
- Compliance Status: C = Compliant, CLD = Completed, NA = Not Audited, NC = Non – compliant, NR = Not Required at this stage. Please note the terms VR = Verification Required and IP = In Process are only for OEPA use.

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
1172:M1.1	Proposal Implementation	When implementing the proposal, the proponent must not exceed the authorised extent of the proposal, unless amendments to the proposal and the authorised extent of the proposal have been approved under the EP Act.	CAR, positioning systems	Survey	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Compliant	Construction has been within the authorised extent. No further activity during this reporting period.
1172:M2.1	Contact Details	The proponent must notify the CEO of any change of its name, physical address or postal address for the serving of notices or other correspondence within twenty-eight (28) days of such change. Where the proponent is a corporation or an association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the postal address is that of the principal place of business or of the principal office in the State.	Written correspondence	Letter or email to the CEO notifying change	Overall	Within 28 days of change in name, physical address or postal address.	Compliant	No change.
1172:M3.1	Time Limit for Proposal Implementation	The proponent must not commence implementation of the proposal after five (5) years from the date of this Statement, and any commencement, prior to this date, must be substantial.	CAR	Correspondence demonstrating substantial commencement of the Project	Overall	Before 10 August 2026.	Compliant	Substantial commencement of activities at the shore crossing commenced 1st February 2023.
1172:M3.2	Time Limit for Proposal Implementation	Any commencement of implementation of the proposal, on or before five (5) years from the date of this Statement, must be demonstrated as substantial by providing the CEO with written evidence, on or before the expiration of five (5) years from the date of this Statement.	Written correspondence of commencement	Correspondence demonstrating substantial commencement of the Project	Overall	Before 10 August 2026.	Compliant	2022-2023 CAR satisfied the condition to provide written notification of substantial commencement of project as per description in Section 3.
1172:M4.1	Compliance Reporting	The proponent must prepare and maintain a Compliance Assessment Plan which is submitted to the CEO at least six (6) months prior to the first Compliance Assessment Report required by condition 4-6, or prior to implementation of the proposal, whichever is sooner.	Prepare CAP in accordance with approval and guideline requirements.	Written acceptance from the CEO of current version of the CAP	Overall	Submit CAP by 11 May 2022.	Compliant	The CAP was submitted by 11 May 2022. Written approval of the CAP was granted by the CEO on 25 May 2022.
1172:M4.2	Compliance Reporting	The Compliance Assessment Plan must indicate: (1) the frequency of compliance reporting; (2) the approach and timing of compliance assessments; (3) the retention of compliance assessments; (4) the method of reporting of potential non-compliances and	Prepare CAP in accordance with approval and guideline requirements.	Written acceptance from the CEO of current version of this CAP	Overall	Submit CAP by 11 May 2022.	Compliant	No change. Written approval of the CAP was granted by the CEO on 25 May 2022.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS: _____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		corrective actions taken; (5) the table of contents of Compliance Assessment Reports; and (6) public availability of Compliance Assessment Reports.						
1172:M4.3	Compliance Reporting	After receiving notice in writing from the CEO that the Compliance Assessment Plan satisfies the requirements of condition 4-2 the proponent must assess compliance with conditions in accordance with the Compliance Assessment Plan required by condition 4-1.	CAR prepared in accordance with approved CAP.	Submission of CAR to the CEO	Overall	Submit first CAR by 11 November 2022 and annually thereafter.	Compliant	The first CAR was submitted to the CEO prior to 11th November 2022. This CAR satisfies requirement of annual reporting.
1172:M4.4	Compliance Reporting	The proponent must retain reports of all compliance assessments described in the Compliance Assessment Plan required by condition 4-1 and shall make those reports available when requested by the CEO.	All CARs retained for seven years following the end of the life of the proposal	Provision on request of the CEO	Overall	When requested by the CEO.	Compliant	All CARs are saved in accordance with Woodside procedures and will be made available on request.
1172:M4.5	Compliance Reporting	The proponent must advise the CEO of any potential non-compliance within seven (7) days of that non-compliance being known.	Written correspondence	Written correspondence to the CEO	Overall	Within 7 days of that non-compliance being known.	Compliant	There were no non-compliances with the conditions set out in Ministerial Statement during the reporting period.
1172:M4.6	Compliance Reporting	The proponent must submit to the CEO the first Compliance Assessment Report (11 August 2021 – 10 August 2022) fifteen (15) months from the date of issue of this Statement addressing the twelve (12) month period from the date of issue of this Statement and then annually from the date of submission of the first Compliance Assessment Report, or as otherwise agreed in writing by the CEO. The Compliance Assessment Report must: (1) be endorsed by the proponent's Chief Executive Officer or a person delegated to sign on the Chief Executive Officer's behalf; (2) include a statement as to whether the proponent has complied with the conditions; (3) identify all potential non-compliances and describe corrective and preventative actions taken; (4) be made publicly available in accordance with the approved Compliance Assessment Plan; and (5) indicate any proposed changes to the Compliance Assessment Plan required by condition 4-1.	CAR	Submission of the CAR to the CEO	Overall	Submit first CAR by 11 November 2022 and annually thereafter.	Compliant	The first CAR was submitted to the CEO prior to 11th November 2022 and subsequently approved on 24th November 2022. The second CAR for the reporting period (11th August 2022 – 10th August 2023) was submitted on the 10th November 2023. This CAR satisfies requirement of annual reporting.
1172:M5.1	Public Availability of Data	Subject to condition 5-2, within a reasonable time period approved by the CEO of the issue of this Statement and for the remainder of the life of the proposal the proponent must make publicly available, in a manner approved by the CEO, all validated environmental data (including sampling design, sampling methodologies, empirical data and derived information products (e.g. maps)), management plans	Final management plans and monitoring reports required by conditions 6-2 and 7-2, will be made publicly available on Company website in accordance with conditions 5-1 and 5-2.	Company website	Overall	Within 3 months of acceptance or approval of management plan or report, unless otherwise approved by the CEO in	Compliant	The approved DSDMP and CHMP are publicly available on Woodside's website. Monitoring reports for applicable surveys undertaken to date have been made public on the Woodside website:

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		and reports relevant to the assessment of this proposal and implementation of this Statement.				accordance with Condition 5-2.		Scarborough Project Environmental Reporting
1172:M5.2	Public Availability of Data	If any data referred to in condition 5-1 contains particulars of: (1) a secret formula or process; or (2) confidential commercially sensitive information; the proponent may submit a request for approval from the CEO to not make these data publicly available. In making such a request the proponent must provide the CEO with an explanation and reasons why the data should not be made publicly available.	Written correspondence	Letter of approval from the CEO	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Compliant	Management plans are publicly available in their entirety on Woodside's website.
1172:M6.1	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	The proponent must ensure implementation of the proposal achieves the following Environmental Protection Outcomes: (1) no detectable net reduction of live coral cover at any of the coral impact monitoring locations attributable to the proposal; and (2) avoid where possible and otherwise minimise direct and indirect impacts on marine fauna listed as specially protected fauna under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*.	Implementation of the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (DSDMP)	CAR	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Compliant	The post-activity survey was conducted between 20th March and 31st March 2024, within three months of cessation of trenching, spoil disposal, borrow ground dredging and backfill activities as required by the DSDMP. Marine fauna observations were carried out as required during activities. No further activity during this reporting period.
1172:M6.2	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	Prior to dredging activities, the proponent shall finalise and submit a further revision of the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan (SA0006AH0000002, Rev 2, November 2019), in consultation with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation, to meet the Environmental Protection Outcomes specified in condition 6-1.	Revision of DSDMP, including an overview of consultation undertaken with Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC)	Submission of the revised DSDMP to the CEO	Pre-construction	Prior to dredging activities.	Compliant	The DSDMP, inclusive of consultation outcomes, was approved by the CEO on 7th March 2023. This was prior to the commencement of dredging activities (21st July 2023).
1172:M6.3	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	The Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan as required by condition 6-2 shall include: (1) a requirement for all dredging and spoil disposal activities to be managed with the objective of achieving the Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1; (2) a benthic habitat map showing the extent and distribution of benthic communities and habitats; (3) sediment plume modelling outputs to inform predicted impacts and losses of benthic communities and habitat, including a cumulative loss assessment; (4) presentation of the sediment plume outputs in an impact zonation scheme;	Revised DSDMP that addresses each requirement to the satisfaction of the CEO.	Letter of approval from the CEO	Pre-construction	Prior to dredging activities.	Compliant	The DSDMP was approved by the CEO on 7th March 2023.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		(5) management trigger indicators based on pressure response pathways and proposed adaptive management actions; (6) monitoring program including reference and impact monitoring site locations and methods (including timing) to provide data to allow assessment against the management trigger indicators and the Environmental Protection Outcome required by condition 6-1(1), and to inform adaptive management actions; (7) a tiered monitoring/management feedback loop to manage dredging, spoil disposal and backfill operations to achieve the Environmental Protection Outcome required by condition 6-1(1); (8) procedures to be implemented to minimise the environmental impact of trunkline installation vessel operations, including vessel anchoring; (9) procedures developed in consultation with the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development for managing all vessels and immersible equipment activities prior to mobilisation and during the life of the proposal to prevent the introduction of marine pests into the State, within the State and into or out of the Dampier Archipelago; (10) monitoring and management measures to achieve the Environmental Protection Outcome in condition 6-1(2), including but not limited to: (a) measures to avoid direct vessel strikes with marine fauna; (b) measures to minimise direct entrainment impacts on turtles, including not operating dredge pumps during transit; (c) exclusion zones and observation zones for dredging; (d) noise management procedures to avoid temporary and permanent changes to hearing sensitivity in marine fauna and minimise behavioural responses, including but not limited to during any piledriving activities (including implementing soft start procedures, restricting pile-driving to day-light hours and precluding pile-driving operations during the period May to October inclusive, exclusion zones and trained fauna observers); (e) measures to minimise indirect impacts on turtles from lighting, including by minimising lighting use on vessels and during onshore construction; (f) recording sightings and locations of marine fauna in the vessels' daily log book; and (g) documenting and reporting to relevant regulators any incidents relating to marine fauna injury / mortality.						

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		(11) procedures for determining whether any management trigger exceedances are attributable to the implementation of the proposal; (12) contingency management strategies to be employed if management triggers are reached as a result of the proposal; (13) clear reporting procedures if management triggers are reached; (14) mechanisms to provide the public with details of exceedances of management triggers and contingency actions as soon as practicable; (15) mechanisms to notify the public if marine recreational values are likely to be impacted as a result of the dredging, spoil disposal and/or backfill activities; and (16) evidence of the consultation required and the outcomes of this consultation						
1172:M6.4	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	Dredging activities may not commence until the proponent has received notice in writing from the CEO that the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan satisfies the requirements of condition 6-3.	Written correspondence	Written correspondence from the CEO advising the DSDMP satisfies the requirements of condition 6-3	Pre-construction	Prior to dredging activities.	Compliant	The DSDMP was approved by the CEO on 7th March 2023, prior to the commencement of dredging activities (21st July 2023).
1172:M6.5	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	The proponent: (1) may review and revise the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan; or (2) must review and revise the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan as and when directed by the CEO.	Written correspondence	Written correspondence to the CEO advising the proponent's proposed changes to the DSDMP, or advising changes made at the request of the CEO.	Overall	As required or when directed by the CEO.	Not required at this stage	Review of the DSDMP has not been required since CEO approval.
1172:M6.6	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	The proponent shall implement the latest revision of the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan required by condition 6-2, which the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing, satisfies the requirements of condition 6-3.	Implementation of the DSDMP	CAR	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Compliant	Works have been completed in accordance with the approved DSDMP. No further activity during this reporting period.
1172:M6.7	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	In the event that monitoring carried out under the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan determines that the relevant Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are not being achieved the proponent shall: (1) immediately implement the relevant contingency management actions specified in the Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan, and continue implementation of those actions until it is demonstrated that the Environmental Protection	Written correspondence	Written correspondence of notification and reporting to the CEO	Overall	In the event that monitoring determines that the relevant Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are not being achieved, with notification and report timeframes	Compliant	EPO6-1(1) determined as compliant a per the Post-dredging Coral Community Assessment Survey Report. There were no Project attributable water quality exceedances recorded during the trenching and spoil disposal activity and hence no reactive coral

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are being achieved and will continue to be achieved; (2) investigate the likely cause of the Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 not being achieved; (3) within twenty-four (24) hours of determining that any of the Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are not being achieved, report the non-achievement to the CEO; (4) within seven (7) days of determining that any of the Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are not being achieved submit to the CEO a report detailing the following: (a) the results of the monitoring that led to the determination that any of the Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are not being achieved; (b) the investigation being undertaken as required by condition 6-7(2); (c) any notifications and contingency management actions implemented by the proponent following determination that any of the Environmental Protection Outcomes required by condition 6-1 are not being achieved; and (d) provide a report detailing the findings of the investigation required by condition 6-7(2) to the CEO within twenty-one (21) days of first determining that any of the Environmental Protection Outcomes set in condition 6-1 are not being achieved.				as per condition 6-7.		community assessment surveys required. EPO6-1(2) all management actions have been implemented to avoid where possible and otherwise minimise direct and indirect impacts on marine fauna listed as specially protected fauna under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016. No further activity during this reporting period.
1172:M6.8	Dredging and Spoil Disposal Management Plan	The proponent shall submit to the CEO annual Compliance Assessment Reports in accordance with condition 4-6 which includes: (1) all monitoring data and reportable incidents required by conditions 6-7(3) and 6-7(4); (2) an analysis and interpretation of monitoring data to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of condition 6-1; and (3) an assessment of the effectiveness of monitoring, management and contingency measures implemented to ensure compliance with the requirements of condition 6-1.	CAR	Submission of CAR to the CEO	Overall	Submit first CAR by 11 November 2022 and annually thereafter.	Compliant	As demonstrated by this CAR. 1) Monitoring reports for surveys completed to date, including post-activity coral community assessment have been finalised and made public. There have been no recordable incidents in context of 6-7(3) and 6-7(4). No further activity during this reporting period. 2) Compliance with the requirements of EPO6-1(1) have been determined as compliant in the post-dredging coral community assessment report. Note there was no

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
								Project attributable water quality exceedances during the trenching, spoil disposal and backfill activities undertaken, and hence no reactive coral community assessment surveys required. Compliance with the requirements of EPO6-1(2) demonstrated through MFO observation data and frequency of associated adaptive management, as relevant to vessel and activity. 3) All management actions have been implemented to ensure compliance with EPO6-1(2) to avoid where possible and otherwise minimise direct and indirect impacts on marine fauna listed as specially protected fauna under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016. No further activity during this reporting period.
1172:M7.1	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	The proponent must implement the proposal to meet the following objective: (1) Minimise direct and indirect impacts to social, cultural, heritage and archaeological values within and surrounding the Development Envelope, including from, but not limited to: (a) disturbance of the ground that may impact Aboriginal Heritage Site, 19675 Holden Point Quarry A and accompanying conservation zone (known as 'Tool Shed') registered under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*; (b) potential loss of access to areas to undertake traditional activities; (c) indirect impacts, including visual and dust impacts to social and cultural places and activities; and (d) disturbance of areas of volcanic rock in the sea bed.	Implementation of the Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CHMP)	CAR	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Compliant	Works have been completed in accordance with the approved CHMP. No direct or indirect impacts to the values listed in (1)(a)-(d) have been recorded. No further activity during this reporting period.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
1172:M7.2	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	Prior to ground disturbing activities, the proponent shall finalise and submit a further version of the Cultural Heritage Management Plan (SA0006GH1401311448, Rev A, November 2019), in consultation with the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation, to meet the objective specified in condition 7-1.	Revision of CHMP, including an overview of consultation undertaken with Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC)	Submission of the revised CHMP to the CEO	Pre-construction	Prior to ground disturbing activities.	Complaint	CHMP was approved by the CEO on 6th January 2023. This was prior to ground disturbance activities which commenced 1st February 2023.
1172:M7.3	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	The Cultural Heritage Management Plan required by condition 7-2 must: (1) specify the objective to be achieved, as specified in condition 7-1; (2) specify risk-based management actions that will be implemented to demonstrate compliance with the objective specified in condition 7-1; (3) specify measurable management target(s) to determine the effectiveness of the risk-based management actions; (4) specify monitoring to measure the effectiveness of management actions against management targets; (5) specify a process for revision of management actions and changes to proposal activities, in the event that the management targets are not achieved. The process must include an investigation to determine the cause of the management target(s) not being met; (6) provide the format and timing to demonstrate that condition 7-1 has been met for the reporting period in the Compliance Assessment Report required by condition 4-6 including, but not limited to: (a) verification of the implementation of management actions; and (b) reporting on the effectiveness of management actions against management target(s); and (7) provide evidence of consultation required by condition 7-2 and the outcomes of this consultation.	Revised CHMP that addresses each requirement to the satisfaction of the CEO.	Letter of approval from the CEO	Pre-construction	Prior to ground disturbing activities.	Complaint	CHMP was approved by the CEO on 6th January 2023. This was prior to ground disturbance activities which commenced 1st February 2023.
1172:M7.4	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	Ground disturbing activities may not commence until the proponent has received notice in writing from the CEO that the Cultural Heritage Management Plan satisfies the requirements of condition 7-3.	Written correspondence	Written correspondence from the CEO advising the CHMP satisfies the requirements of condition 7-3	Pre-construction	When implementing the proposal.	Complaint	CHMP was approved by the CEO on 6 January 2023. This was prior to ground disturbance activities which commenced 1 February 2023.
1172:M7.5	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	After receiving notice in writing from the CEO that the Cultural Heritage Management Plan satisfies the requirements of condition 7-3, the proponent must: (1) implement the provisions of the Cultural Heritage Management Plan; and	Implementation of the CHMP	CAR	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Compliant	Works have been completed in accordance with the approved CHMP. No further activity during this reporting period.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
		(2) continue to implement the Cultural Heritage Management Plan until the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing that the proponent has demonstrated the objective specified in condition 7-1 has been met.						
1172:M7.6	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate nonachievement of management target(s) specified in the Cultural Heritage Management Plan, the proponent must: (1) report the non-achievement in writing to the CEO within twenty-one (21) days of the non-achievement being identified; (2) investigate to determine the cause of the management target(s) not being achieved; (3) provide a report to the CEO within ninety (90) days of the non-achievement being reported as required by condition 7-6(1). The report must include: (a) cause of management target(s) being exceeded; (b) the findings of the investigation required by condition 7-6(2); (c) details of revised and/or additional management actions to be implemented to prevent non-achievement of the management target(s); and (d) relevant changes to proposal activities.	Written correspondence	Written correspondence to the CEO	Overall	In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate nonachievement of management target(s), with notification and report timeframes as per condition 7-6.	Compliant	No non-achievement of management target(s) has been identified to date.
1172:M7.7	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	If monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate that one or more management action(s) specified in the Cultural Heritage Management Plan have not been implemented, the proponent must: (1) investigate to determine the cause of the management action(s) not being implemented; (2) investigate to provide information for the CEO to determine potential environmental harm or alteration of the environment that occurred due to the failure to implement management action(s); (3) provide a report to the CEO within twenty-eight (28) days of the noncompliance being identified. The report must include: (a) cause for failure to implement management action(s); (b) the findings of the investigation required by condition 7-7(2); (c) relevant changes to proposal activities; and (d) measures to prevent, control or abate the environmental harm which may have occurred.	Written correspondence	Report to the CEO	Overall	In the event that monitoring, tests, surveys or investigations indicate that one or more management action(s) have not been implemented, with notification and report timeframes as per condition 7-7.	Compliant	All management actions have been implemented to date. No further activity during this reporting period.
1172:M7.8	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	The proponent: (1) may review and revise the Cultural Heritage Management Plan; or (2) must review and revise the Cultural Heritage Management Plan as and when directed by the CEO.	Written correspondence	Written correspondence to the CEO advising the proponent's proposed changes to the CHMP, or advising changes made at the request of the CEO.	Overall	When required or directed by the CEO.	Not required at this stage	Review of the CHMP has not been required since CEO approval.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____

Audit Code	Subject	Requirement	How	Evidence	Phase	Timeframe	Status	Further Information
1172:M7.9	Cultural Heritage Management Plan	The proponent must implement the latest revision of the Cultural Heritage Management Plan required by condition 7-2, which the CEO has confirmed by notice in writing, satisfies the requirements of condition 7-3.	Implementation of the DSDMP	CAR	Overall	When implementing the proposal.	Complaint	Works have been completed in accordance with the approved CHMP.

This document is protected by copyright. No part of this document may be reproduced, adapted, transmitted, or stored in any form by any process (electronic or otherwise) without the specific written consent of Woodside. All rights are reserved.

Each page (including Attachment 2) must be initialled by the person who signs Section 4 of this Statement of Compliance. INITIALS_____